Linguistics 200A: Phonological Theory I       Fall 2012

SYLLABUS

Time           MW 11:00-12:50 AM  Professor     Kie Zuraw [ˈkʰər ˈzɔɹ,ɔ]
Place          Haines A28        Office       Campbell 3122A
ID number      653-009-200     Mailbox     In Campbell 3125
Phone          310-825-0634
Office hours   Tues. 4:00-6:00 PM
E-mail         kie@ucla.edu
Web page       www.linguistics.ucla.edu/people/zuraw, under ‘Teaching’

Presumed background

• distinctive features
• natural classes
• phonemes & allophones
• alternations
• underlying representations
• rules & rule ordering

Description

This is the first of two courses in the graduate phonology sequence (200A-201A). This quarter we look at the relationship between constraints and processes, comparing SPE\(^1\), OT\(^2\), and theories in between, with a focus on theory comparison: what kinds of constraints, processes, or interactions thereof do we want to posit to account for phonological phenomena, and what kinds does each theory predict?

We will also study some representational issues (autosegmentalism and metrical stress theory) that are not always relevant to the theory comparison but are needed to read the literature.

Course goals

The 200A-201A course sequence is intended to provide you with the background necessary for (i) understanding and evaluating current and past literature in phonology, and (ii) carrying out your own research in phonology. The course sequence is also an opportunity to explore your own interests (more in 201A than in 200A) and gain exposure to the views and work of UCLA faculty and students, including each other.

Requirements

Readings with study questions  10%
Homework assignments (about 8)  50%
Individual project; includes various progress reports and a requirement to meet with me twice outside of class to discuss your project  40%

Readings

• Will be distributed by e-mail.
• A short set of study questions (to turn in) will accompany most readings. This is to keep everyone up to date, which will lead to better class discussions, and to help you focus on the key points of each reading (as well as to give me an idea of how the readings are going over).

---


Homework assignments

Each assignment will give you a set of data and require you to state the generalizations present in the data set and provide a complete analysis, written up in (brief!!) prose form. Assignments for the week’s material will be posted on my web page after the week’s classes (Wed. or Thurs.) and due the following Friday.

Collaboration

Please collaborate on readings and assignments, but write up your assignments separately. Meeting with your classmates regularly to discuss course material is strongly recommended. First-years: it’s recommended that you set up one evening a week to meet and work on 200A and one evening a week for 200B. Others: I recommend that you join them.

Individual project

See separate document on course web page.

Course web page

The course web page will be on my own page (see above), under ‘Teaching’. I’ll post handouts, data files, links, and other materials there.

Workload

Based on past surveys, you should expect to spend on average 13 hours a week outside of class on readings and assignments for this course (including the individual project). The reading load varies from week to week, so you may want to read ahead in the slower weeks.

Explanation of grades

Senate regulations say:

“The work of all graduate students shall be reported in terms of the following grades: A (superior achievement), B (satisfactorily demonstrated potentiality for professional achievement in the field of study), C (passed the course but did not do work indicative of potentiality for professional achievement in the field of study), F (fail) […] The grades A, B, and S [not applicable to this course] denote satisfactory progress toward a degree.”

Maybe someday I’ll switch to the above scale, but at least for this course, I will continue to follow the common practice, according to which grades mean the following:

A+:  performance exceeds expectations [for a 1st-year graduate student in linguistics]
A:  performance meets expectations
A-:  performance is below expectations
B(+/-): performance is well below expectations
C(+/-): (rare) performance is seriously unsatisfactory, yet somehow merits a passing grade
F:  fail

The same scale will be applied to all students, whether they’re 1st-year graduate students in linguistics or not.
## Course outline (subject to adjustment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Readings (study questions due on Mon. unless otherwise agreed)</th>
<th>Individual project</th>
<th>HW due (Fri.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>SPE: basics and expansion conventions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|      | Oct 3 | More SPE: extrinsic rule ordering                           | • K&K ch. 3, pp. 45-62  
• K&K ch. 9, pp. 331-339  
(rest of ch. 9 is good reference) |                   |              |
| 2    | Oct 8 | Why constraints? The duplication and conspiracy problems     | • K&K ch. 5, pp. 154-165  
• K&K ch. 10, pp. 424-436  
• Kisseberth 1970 |                   | rules        |
|      | Oct 10| Rule+constraint theories                                   |                   |                |              |
| 3    | Oct 15| Classic OT                                                 | • Prince & Smolensky 1993/2004, pp. 4-6, 11-21, 107-126, consult tableaux in 127-135 | rules + constraints|              |
|      | Oct 17|                                                               |                   |                |              |
| 4    | Oct 22| Process application in SPE & OT: multiple targets, directionality, iterativity | • K&K ch. 8, pp. 318-327  
• Anderson 1984 ch. 9  
• Kaplan 2008, pp. 1-4, 8-16 | bibliographic exercise due | basic OT |
|      | Oct 24|                                                               |                   |                |              |
| 5    | Oct 29| Process interaction in SPE & OT: opaque and transparent orderings, intrinsic ordering | • Anderson 1984 ch. 10, pp. 137-151, 160-165 | meet with me once by end of this week | process application |
|      | Oct 31|                                                               |                   |                |              |
| 6    | Nov 5 | Interaction between phonological and morphological processes: the cycle; Lexical Phonology and Morphology | • K&K ch. 10, 393-401, 407-424  
• Kiparsky 2000 | lary vs. 2ary source report due | process interaction |
|      | Nov 7 |                                                               |                   |                |              |
| 7    | Nov 12| Veterans Day holiday—no class                               |                   | meet with me again by end of this week | cyclicity/lexical phonology |
|      | Nov 14| Conspiracies revisited: the too-many-solutions problem       | • Steriade 2001, pp. 1-33 | |              |
| 8    | Nov 19| Autosegmental representations                                | • Goldsmith 1979 | none—work on paper |              |
|      | Nov 21|                                                               |                   |                |              |
| 9    | Nov 26| Metrical stress theory: the grid                             | • Hayes 1994, ch. 3 | abstract due | autosegmentalism |
|      | Nov 28| Metrical stress theory: feet                                 |                   |                | stress       |
| 10   | Dec 3 | Metrical stress theory: weight                               | none—work on your paper | oral presentations |              |
|      | Dec 5 | Synthesis and prospect                                       |                   | paper due in my mailbox by 5:00 |              |
| finals week | TBD |                                                               |                   |                |              |
|       | Friday|                                                               |                   |                |              |