

has also lost a [-nas], according to its constraint violations, rather than just moving the [-nas] to the other consonant).

p. 31, fn. 8 “not structure-preserving” = creates a sound ([β]) that is not in the phoneme inventory (of Turkish, in this case)

p. 31 “stricture contrasts”: e.g., stop vs. fricative, stop vs. approximant (these differ in how small the consonantal constriction is)

p. 32 “heterorganic”: having different place of articulation

Questions

1. In Class 7 (OT II), we saw various ways that Pater’s *NC̥ constraint could be satisfied: post-nasal voicing (Japanese, Quichua), denasalization (Magindanaw), stop deletion (Standard Malay), nasal deletion (Kelantan Malay). The constraint could also be freely violated, as in English. But we didn’t see metathesis, vowel epenthesis, nasal devoicing, stop nasalization... Assume that what we saw is a complete typology of humanly possible repairs for *NC̥ (unlikely, but assume it for purposes of argument). Under Steriade’s theory, this means that there are some ties in the P-map, but also some non-ties. Sketch out the relevant fragment of the P-map, and state the default rankings of correspondence constraints that would result.