PUDENDA HETHITICA

H. Craig Melchert University of North Carolina

The title of this article is based on the happenstance that all the Hittite expressions discussed below involve either excretory functions and/or genitalia. I did not set out to write on such a specialized topic, but this common theme does lend a certain unity to the discussion which follows.

1. yayāi katta-ya-an-za-kan tarnai

This collocation is attested in full in KUB 44 61 i 9 and incompletely in i 16. The same expression occurs in KBo 21 76:7 and 13 (with the order of clauses reversed). Burde, to whom we owe the edition of these "medical" texts, finds the expression obscure; she leaves *yayāi* untranslated and renders the rest literally as "und lässt ihn herabhängen(?)."

I believe, however, that Burde herself has already provided all the necessary information for interpreting the phrase. The preceding context tells us that one of the patient's symptoms is lack of appetite (or inability to eat), leading Burde to conclude correctly that the illness involves the gastro-intestinal tract. The prescription includes NU.LUH.HA.SAR, "asafoetida," and SUM.SAR, "garlic," both of which according to Burde's notes were used in ancient Mesopoamia for their diuretic effect. Finally, we know that the expression given above somehow indicates a cure, since the next paragraph begins: "But if he/she does not get well...," followed by further treatment.²

Given a gastro-intestinal ailment and the administering of well-known diuretics, it seems to me that the meaning of *katta-ya-an-za-kan tarnai*, "and he lets it go/releases it downward" is evident: we have here the Hittite expression for "passes" in the sense of "excretes." Since the action is in a very real sense internal, the reflexive particle is perfectly in order. The reference of the animate anaphoric pronoun -an, "it," is not clear, but there are several possibilities. The Hittite word for excrement known to us is

^{1.} StBoT 19 (1974) 18ff.

^{2.} The negative formulation of KBo 21 76:6-8 leads to the same conclusion: n-an-za-kan mān kat[ta hūta]k UL tarnāi yayai-m[a¹ U]L hūtak, "If he does not release it down at once, and does not yayai at once . . ." This phrase is followed by further treatment.

^{3.} As is well-established, Hittite katta, "down" is cognate with Greek katá, "idem," while Hittite tarna-, "release, let go," matches Tocharian AB tärk-, "idem" (note A pres. 3rd sg. tärnāş). Further connections of each are debatable.

neuter (šakkar, šaknaš), but it is likely that others existed. Depending on the Hittites' conception of the matter, the -an could also refer to the illness, an obstruction, or perhaps even a "demon" causing the ailment: see KBo 6 34 iii 19f. (StBoT 22 12) and see Burde's discussion of the role of Išhara.⁴

As for yayāi, the fact that it occurs before katta... tarnai in one text and after it in another⁵ argues that the two actions expressed occur together rather than one after the other. Formally, yayāi has the appearance of an imitative word, comparable to wāi, wiyanzi, "cries out" (also reduplicated wiwāi). The latter is certainly related to the "noun" wai-, "woe," which occurs in the rhyming pair ain wain (KBo 17 3 iv 26ff.). Both ai- and wai-are, of course, in origin exclamations indicating pain: uninflected ai is attested in KBo 21 19 rev. 2 and KUB 28 6 ii 16. I know of no "yai in Hittite, but compare i-i halziššai, "cries ee-ee" in KBo 17 43 i 11. One may also compare the unclear KUB 30 34 iv 31-32: nu-ššan šarā nep[išaš DING]IR.MEŠ-aš iyauwa halzaiš, "He cried i. up to the gods of heaven." I suggest that yayāi means no more than "cries yai," expressing pain. It is reasonable that a bowel movement under such circumstances (prolonged constipation) might be quite uncomfortable: the treatment is prescribed for up to seven days.

2. gullant(i)-GIŠ.ŠÚ.A-ka-

The use of purgatives is also attested in the Ritual of Ayatarsa (CTH 390), KUB 7 1 i 1ff., which is prescribed for a child who is alpant-, "weak, torpid," or whose "innards are devoured" (garate's adante's). The list of ingredients prescribed (i 19ff.) includes once again asafoetida (here written as Akkadian tiyati, scil. tiyade). All the ingredients are crushed and mixed together, and beer is poured over them (i 25-28). If there is any doubt that the purpose of this concoction is purgative, it is removed by the prescribed mode of treatment: the liquid is administered both orally and anally, as well as poured over the child, who is also ritually washed (i 29-34). Following this, an ointment is prepared, and the child's limbs are rubbed with it (i 37-38). This is followed by ašašhi-ma-ššan gullanti GIŠ.ŠU.Ā, "she places him (the child) on a g.-chair" (i 40-41). There is then mention of a container full of ritually pure water (wahešnaš wātar), and the tablet unfortunately breaks off.

The adjective g. occurs in context, KBo 10 37 i 22 and iii 18, 29 (and the parallel KBo 13 156 v 7-8), where it refers to a type of bread:

NINDA.KUR₄.RA gullanti-. As noted by Hoffner,⁶ Hittite bread names may refer to the ingredients, the intended use, the color, or especially the shape. The first two possibilities are eliminated by the use with "chair." Color is a possibility, but it is not easy to see why the color of the chair would be relevant to its use in the ritual.

On the other hand, after the administration of a purgative, it is reasonable that the child would be placed not on a chair of ordinary shape, but on a "hollow" chair, one with a hole in it, to wit, a toilet. Furthermore, we know that the Hittites had discovered the sandwich or layer-cake (see the "formule des pains" in the Hittite-Palaic Zaparwa Ritual, CTH 751). It would therefore not surprise us to learn that they had also invented the doughnut (or perhaps rather ring-cake, there being more than one way to make bread with a hole in the middle). I suggest then that gullant(i)- means "hollow, with a hole in it." The hapax gulliya in KUB 27 67 iv 32 is probably related: INA KUR LÜ.K[ÜR] x gulliya nanni, "drive into the enemy land into the/a g." The context suggests a meaning such as "pit" or "ravine," basically a "hole."

3. kiklu- and paššari-

In KUB 44 61 rev. 24-25, the same text discussed in Section 1 above, we find the expression n-as $m\bar{a}n$ (UL) passaris... Burde⁸ argues convincingly from the context that the meaning is: "If he is (not) circumcised..." She believes passari-, "circumcised" to be hapax, but the word does occur elsewhere in Hittite, in a very different context.

6. Alim. Het. 205ff.

^{4.} StBoT 19 (1974) 12ff.

^{5.} See n. 2 above.

^{7.} The form gullantin (KBo 1037 iii 18) requires a stem gullanti-. The dat.-loc. sg. gullanti in KUB 7 1 i 40 may reflect either gullant- or gullanti-. The i-stem is certainly secondary (an old istem built on a base in -ant- would have produced assibilation: *-ant-i-> *-anzi-). The stem gullant- could be either a participle or an -ant- extension of an unattested adjective *gulla-. Both the shape and the meaning suggest connection with the *kul-seen in Old Norse holr. Old English hol etc., "hollow," Gothic ushulōn, "hollow out," and Sanskrit kuluā, "ditch, canal." These are commonly related further to the *kaul- of Greek kaulós, "stem," Latin caulis, "stem," and Lithuanian kaúlas, "bone." Superficially, gulla-could match holr etc. (< kulo-) and gulliua likewise Sanskrit kuluā. However, a double sonant in Hittite regularly reflects a sonant-laryngeal cluster; see, for example, šunna-, "fill" < "su-nhx-. Since "kaulo-/kulo- can hardly be divided other than *kau-/ku-lo-, a sequence *ku-lhxo- is unlikely. There are words with a similar meaning pointing to *kū-/kuhx-: Sanskrit kūpa-, "hole, cave," Latin cūpa, "vat, barrel." A *kuh₁-lo- is thus conceivable. It is quite uncertain at present, however, whether such a sequence would yield Hittite -ll- (rather than lengthening the preceding vowel). The relationship of various Proto-Indo-European forms for rounded objects (convex and concave) remains obscure. Compare Pokorny IEW 393f., 537, 588ff., and 592ff. (*geu-, *kaul-, *keu-, and *keu-... It seems safe to say that Hittite gullant(i)- fits somewhere into this illdefined group, but it is not clear just where.

^{8.} StBoT 19 (1974) 24-25.

In RS 25.421 rev. 22, a Hittite translation of an Akkadian text termed a "signalement lyrique" by Laroche (CTH 315), we find Hittite kiklubāššar[iš-m]a-aš, "She is an iron-ring," equating with Akkadian unqi parzulli. Laroche⁹ compares kiklubāššari- to the hapax kiklimaimenzi in the inventory KUB 12 1 iv 26 (see DLL 55), which he proposes to read kiklibaimenzi, "iron-coated" or the like, participle to a verb *kiklibāi-, "plate/coat with iron." 10

It is clear, however, that kiklubaššari-, "iron-ring" must be divided as kiklu-, "iron," plus baššari-, "circumcised." For the relationship of the two uses compare in the same semantic sphere Hittite pišna- < "pesno-, originally "penis," but then by pars pro toto "the one having a penis" = "male, man." In the same way, then, paššari-, "circle, ring" is used for "the one having a ring, circle" (around his penis) = "circumcised." 11

The -ba- of kiklibaimenzi (presumably for *kiklubaimenzi)¹² must therefore have another explanation. An adjective *kikluba-, "of iron," as the base for the denominative verb *kiklubāi- is certainly conceivable, but

9. Ugaritica 5 (1968) 77.

10. H. G. Güterbock has kindly informed me that collation has confirmed the reading with BA: kiklibaimenzi.

11. The noun passari-, "circle, ring" (animate) formally recalls auri-, "watchtower" to the verb au-, "see." Since the latter also occurs as awari-, one could read passari- as pass-ari-/. On the other hand, with only two occurrences one could also read it as pass-ri-/. For the spelling compare ki-is-sa-ri beside ki-is-ri for gissri/, "in the hand," and many others. As for the base "pass-, one source of geminate-ss- in Hittite is a sequence "-ns- or "- h_1 /3s-. However, I know of no Proto-Indo-European root of a shape "Pen-s- or "Pe h_1 /3-s- from which one could derive a meaning "ring," "circle." Since Proto-Indo-European salso appears as -ss- in Hittite before a sonant (compare the example kis(sa)ri cited above), one could also derive/pass-ri-/ from a simple root "Pes- or "Pas-. Again, however, I know of no likely etymon for "circle, ring."

There are cases of sporadic -\sections-in Hittite from clusters other than those given above. The noun ar\sectionsar\sectionsurar-, "stream, current," which is a reduplicated stem from the verb ar\sections-, "flow," also appears as ar\sectionsar\section

12. The apparent alternation u/i in $kiklu-/kikli-b\bar{a}i$ - is unusual, but not unique; see $i\bar{s}q\bar{a}rub/i\bar{s}k\bar{a}rib$.

I know of no other examples of an adjective suffix -ba- in Anatolian, while verbs in -mā(i)- from bases in -u- are well attested; see Luwian šaššumāi-, "?," and Hittite enu-māi-, "make warm," ešharnu-māi-, "make bloody." Given the very close resemblance of the signs BA and MA, I suspect that the hapax kiklibaimenzi is for *kiklimaimenzi and that the verb "plate with iron" is *kiklimāi-/*kiklumāi-.

The word for "iron" is normally written in Hittite with the Sumerogram AN.BAR. The only examples with phonetic complements known to me are the genitive AN.BAR-as and the instrumental AN.BAR-it. These argue neither for nor against the apparent equation kiklu- = parzillu = AN.BAR.¹⁴

4. TÚG. šeknu- (šarā) pippa-

The literal meaning of this phrase has long been tentatively known: "turn up one's robe." However, the real significance of this expression in the contexts in which it appears has remained obscure. Friedrich¹⁵ translates \$arā pippa- as "(ein abwärts hängendes Kleid) hochstülpen(?)." However, on the following page he renders the separately-listed \$eknu\$ pippuwar as "Rock-Umwendung(?)" and translates the phrase MAMETUM \$eknu\$ pippuwar (KUB 26 1 iv 46) freely as "Eid brechen." Von Schuler¹6 retains Friedrich's literal interpretation, but prefers to translate the passage as "diesen Eid verdreht." Otten¹7 interprets KUB 7 41 rev. 13 (//KBo 10 45 i 2-3) TÜG.\$eknu-\$šan kēdaš parnaš šarā pippāš as "und [hat] nun seinen Mantel über dieses Hauswesen geworfen."

^{13.} The suffix is originally $-w\bar{a}(i)$ -, as seen in $bi\$w\bar{a}(i)$ -, "lie open, be exposed," to the root ba\$\$-/be\$- "open." By a regular Hittite sound change \bullet - $um\bar{a}(i)$ ->- $um\bar{a}(i)$ -.

^{14.} For a technological term such as "iron," borrowing is a likely source, but the Hittite word does not resemble the pan-Semitic word reflected in Akkadian parzillu, nor any other term for "iron" in geographically close languages. We may therefore consider the possibility that the word has a Proto-Indo-European etymology. Many Indo-European terms for metals are based on color: for example Greek árguros, "silver" < h2erg-, "white" (compare Hittite barki-, "white"), likewise "gold" < ghel-, "yellow" (compare Sanskrit hári, "yellow"), and Lithuanian ālvas, "tin" < al-wo-, "white" (compare Latin albus, "white," and specifically plumbum album, "white lead" = "tin"). Hittite kiklu- may also be compared formally to other Proto-Indo-European color terms. Compare bhe/i-bhr-u- < bher-, "brown," which is the source of Sanskrit babhrú- (kind of ichneumon) and the European word for "beaver." We may analyze Hittite kiklu-likewise as ke/i-kl-u-, "the gray (metal)" < kel-, "gray, blackish"; compare Greek kel-ainós, "black," killós, "gray," and so on.

^{15.} HWb sub pippa-.

^{16.} Dienstanw. 16.

^{17.} ZA 54 (1961) 117.

It is clear from the occurrences of this expression that it signifies a hostile and defiling act. We have attested several rituals prescribed for the occasion when someone "turns up his robe" at/against another person. For example, the ritual of Pupuwanni (CTH 408) is to be used when the gods have been bewitched against someone, and they "turn up their robes" at him (KUB 7 2 iv 6-7 // KBo 15 23 vi 2-3). ¹⁸ A ritual aimed at the gods of the underworld (CTH 446) contains the phrase cited above (KUB 741 rev. 13): "has turned up his robe at these houses." This is included among such other acts as swearing a (false) oath, committing mutilation, uttering a curse, or entering a house with blood on one's hands. KUB 30 36 i 2-3 (CTH 401), a purfication ritual, is for the occasion when a man "turns up his robe at his companion" (LÚ.tappi-šu). The use of the phrase in the Instructions of Tuthaliya (KUB 26 8 iv 33 // 26 1 iv 26) merely reflects an extended application to an object instead of a person: našma-za kī MAMETUM šeknuš pippuwar kuiški iyazi, "or (if) someone commits a turning up of the robes against this oath."20 Since pippa- alone means "overturn" in the sense of "invert," it can mean "turn up" with clothing even without šarā.

Why does "turning up the robe" constitute a hostile act which is treated like a curse or the practice of witchcraft? I believe the answer is that the Hittites wore nothing under their šeknu-. Thus "turning up the robe" means "exposing oneself." In modern Western society self-exposure is considered a (deviant) sexual act whose purpose is to titillate (or embarrass). However, in some cultures such an action is rather an insult or a curse.²¹

19. Or more likely (with Otten) "at this house(hold)," with a collective plural.

Modern Europeans and Americans generally content themselves with symbolizing self-exposure by various well-known hand gestures, but the purpose is the same: a serious insult or curse.²²

Some support for the idea that the Hittites wore nothing under the §eknu-comes from the peculiar ritual passage KUB 20 90 iv 15-16: ašeššar arta TÚG. šiknuš peššiyanzi wappianzi, "the assembly stands; they throw off their robes and bark." If the participants are to bark like dogs (for whatever purpose), it is reasonable that the purpose of removing their robes is to make them naked like animals.²³

A passage in the Old Hittite "Palace Chronicle" leads to the same conclusion. In KBo 334 i 15ff., two men Nunnu and Sarmassu are punished by being yoked like oxen, but in i 19ff. the king must be convinced that the punishment was severe enough: mān luktat nu ABI LUGAL halzaiš kūš arha kuiš pehutet TÚG-ŠUNU TÚG.išhial-šemett-a kuit natta ešhaškanta UMMA LÚ.MEŠ MEŠEDI šekunu-šmet anda nēan nu TÚG.HI.A-uš arha nāir nu ēšhar LUGAL-uš(!) aušta, "When dawn came, the father of the king called the one who had taken them (the culprits) away: 'Why are their robes and belts not blood-streaked?' The mešedi said: 'Their robes are turned in.' They opened/turned out (their) robes, and the king saw the blood." It makes little sense that anda nēan would mean "turned inside out." I assume rather that it means that the loose robe (probably open in front) was drawn together (perhaps even overlapped) and tied with a belt or sash. It seems highly likely that when the two were harnessed like oxen they were stripped naked. There was some bleeding either from whipping or simply the chafing of the harness, but not enough, apparently, to soak through their robes when they put them back on. Therefore the officials had to open the men's robes to show the king the blood on their bodies. This again implies near or total nudity.

The usage of šeknu- thus supports the proposal that nothing was worn under it, which in turn explains the meaning of the phrase šeknu- (šarā) pippa-, "turn up the robe" = "expose oneself."

There is another apparently peculiar expression with šeknu- attested in the oracle text KBo 2 6 i 39-40 (and see also iii 49-50): DINGIR.LUM-naš ANA INIM dSIN-dU šer TÚG.šeknun EGIR-pa UL SUD-yaši nu IGI-ziš

^{18.} The syntax of this example is troublesome. KUB 7 2 iv 5-7 reads: mān antuḥši DINGIR.MEŠ alwanzaḥḥanteš namma-šta apēdani UKÙ-ši TŪG.šeknuš šarā pippan. The duplicate KBo 15 23 rev. 3 also has pippan, as does IBoT 2 115 rev. 4 (beginning of the same text). Despite the appearance of pippan in three manuscripts, I believe the context requires emendation to pippan<zi> with "the" (the gods) as subject. The text of KUB 41 3 rev. 4-5 (colophon of the same tablet as IBoT 2 115) is surely corrupt: našma-aš-kan apēdani UKÙ-aš TŪG.šeknu[] UGU pippai. Neither the case of UKÙ-aš, the pronoun -aš, nor the singular pippai makes any sense in the context.

^{20.} Since generally with one person the form is <code>\$eknun</code>, one could also assume that we have here the genitival construction with a verbal noun and take <code>\$eknus</code> as standing for genitive singular <code>\$iknuwas</code>, "a turning up of the robe." Compare for the syncope dative-locative plural <code>\$eknus</code> in KBo 2 3 ii 33 with <code>\$iknuwas</code> in the parallel KUB 32 115+ iii 25. However, since <code>kuiski</code>, "someone," stands here for all those bound by the oath, I find it likelier that <code>\$iknus\$</code> is accusative plural depending on <code>pippuwar</code>. The (grammatical) incongruity in number would not be surprising in Hittite; see the passage KUB 20 90 iv 15-16 cited below. The accusative case of <code>kimaletum</code> versus the usual dative and the unique <code>-za</code> are undoubtedly related to the use of the paraphrastic phrase with verbal noun plus <code>iya-</code> instead of the usual finite verb. See KUB 6 45 i 25: <code>-za+acc+arkuwar iya-</code>.

^{21.} Among the Kikuyu (East Central Africa), for a woman to expose her genitals is equivalent to a curse. In Malay exposure of the genitals to another person equates to the verbal insult "my balls in your face." I am indebted to V. Friedman for these useful references.

Exposure of the buttocks has, of course, a similar function. Since the Hittite texts do not mention turning around, I find this less likely, but it cannot be excluded that the Hittite expression equates with "mooning" rather than "flashing."

^{22.} The action is often accompanied by the appropriate verbal phrase, making the meaning crystal-clear. It is likely that the Hittite act of turning up the robe is functionally equivalent to vulgar English "F--k you!"

^{23.} We know from KUB 2 3 ii 14ff. that nudity did play a part in some Hittite rituals.

MUŠEN. HURRI SIG₅-ru EGIR-ma NU. SIG₅-du, "(If) you the god do not pull back(?) your robe at us over the matter of Arma-4U then let the first hbird be favorable, but let the second be unfavorable."

It is clear that the action described expresses anger or displeasure. As usual, the god is being systematically asked for the cause of his wrath. It is hard to see, however, how "pulling back" the robe signifies anger. I believe the answer lies in two passages which I have discussed elsewhere.24 The first of these is §171 of the Laws, where a mother turns her dress around in the face of her son, symbolizing rejection of him. Here the expression used is TÚG-ŠU edi nāi, "turns her dress from there," that is, "from its accustomed place."25 In KUB 33 67 i 26-31 the goddesses Anzili and Zukki symbolize their anger by putting on various pieces of clothing backward; they put the left shoe on the right foot and vice-versa; fasten the breast ornaments in back; and reverse the front and back hupiki-garments. The meaning of šeknun āppa huettiya- must be similar: not "pull back" but "pull (around) to the back" so that the front is in back and vice-versa. Again, anger is symbolized by destroying the natural order of things in the form of dress.

I conclude this discussion of šeknu- wth some formal considerations. Friedrich²⁶ lists šeknu- as both neuter and animate, but in fact it is consistently animate: the accusative singular is always šeknun,27 and the accusative plural šeknuš. 28 The nominative singular šeknuš is probably attested in KUB 36 39 ii 5 and 7, and in 33 121 ii 21.29 The only form which appears to be neuter is šekunu-šmet in the Palace Chronicle, but this instance may easily be explained as a collective plural: compare English "clothing" or "robes" as in "his judicial robes."30

24. JCS 31 (1979) 62ff.

- 25. See the other instance which I cite in JCS 31 (1979) 62ff.
- 26. HWb 189.
- 27. In addition to the examples cited above see KUB 1641 iii 12, 16; 1658 rev. 6; 35163 iii 13; and KBo 10 45 ii 27. The form šeknu-ššan for šeknun-ššan shows the usual assimilation of n to s at a word boundary.

28. Besides the examples above see KBo 13 241 rev. 8, 9 and also 12 100 rev. 6 (the latter an accusative of respect).

29. In each case we seem to have a sentence-initial phrase TÚG. šeknuš-ši-(ya), "(und) der Rock ist ihm . . ." In the last-cited passage we may in fact have TÚG. šeknuš-ši anda n[e]-u[a-

an-za], "His robe is turned in," but the reading of ne-ya-o is uncertain.

The Palace Chronicle also shows that one of the readings of the Sumerogram TÚG is šeknu-. It is clear that TÚG.HIA-uš arha nāir is the opposite action of šekunu-šmet anda nēan. The equation TÚG = šeknu- is confirmed by other occurrences. In the passage KUB 33 67 i 26ff. cited above, "divine robes" is written as TÚG.DINGIR.LIM-was, that is, siunas šeknuwaš.31 The u-stem is confirmed further by KUB 20 4 i 12, where we find TÚG.HI.A-muš. In a ritual context with third plural verb forms -muš can hardly be an enclitic possessive "my." It reflects rather an accusative plural *šeknamuš with the same dissimilation as in idālamuš, and the like. The fact that šeknu- originally had adjectival inflection is shown by the dative-locative singular šēknawi-šmi, "your/their robe" in KBo 17 36 iii 5

I do not claim, of course, that šeknu-, "robe," is the only reading for TÚG. There is also evidence for an a-stem cited by Goetze,32 who suggested that waspa- = TUG-a- may be the generic term for "garment." In addition to his examples see also KBo 323 rev. 8 nekumanti-ma TÚG-a[n pāi], "gives a garment to the naked." In the phrase URU. Nešaš TÚG. HI. A of the funeral dirge KBo 340 rev. 13, TÚG.HI.A almost certainly stands for *wašpa, "funeral clothing, shroud."33 There are ample parallels for such multiple use of Sumerograms in Hittite: see GE₆ = dankui-, "dark, black" and ispant-, "night," among many.34

Like any animate noun, it may have a collective plural as well as an individualized plural (compare alpa and alpuš, "clouds"). The same applies to šeknu-. From parkuwai TÚG.HI.A (KUB 13 4 i 16), Watkins Lg 45 (1969) 239, likewise deduces a collective plural *wašpa, "clothing," to animate wašpa-, "garment."

32. Cor.Ling. 50-51.

33. See Watkins, Lg 45 (1969) 239; cf. note 30.

34. Hittite pippa, "overturn" (by bringing the bottom of something upward) has been plausibly related to Sanskrit ud pipīte, "rises up," by Hoffmann apud Oettinger, Die Stammbildung des hethitischen Verbums (1979) p. 498.

The etymology of šeknu- remains unclear. For such a cultural object borrowing is always likely, but it is hard to see why a foreign word for an object (thus a noun) would have taken on the peculiar Hittite inflection of u-stem adjectives (šeknawi, *šeknamuš). On the other hand, that an original adjective used as a substantive would take on the more regular noun inflection is to be expected. For a word indicating a garment (that is, a large piece of cloth), derivation from the root °šek-, "cut," would be plausible; compare English "shirt" and "skirt," both ultimately from *sk-er-, "cut." However, examples of adjectives in *-nu- in other Indo-European languages are generally active in sense; see Wackernagel-Debrunner, Altindische Grammatik II.2.741 with references. It is uncertain whether the isolated $su(h_x)-nu$ -, "son" < "(the one) born" to "seuhx-, "give birth," may be used as support for a "sek-nu-, "(that which is) cut" > "piece of cloth" > "robe."

^{30.} Many cases of variable gender in Hittite do not exist. The vitality of the collective plural as a living category in Hittite (type of Latin locus, loci, loca) has not been fully appreciated. I cite as another example GIŠ. labhurnuzzi-, "leafy branch, foliage," which is listed in the CHD 3/1 (1980) 15 as both neuter and common gender. However, an examination of the occurrences conveniently gathered there shows that in those cases referring to individual branches the word is consistently animate (see sections b and c). In the apparently neuter examples the sense is always that of a collective "foliage" (on the tree or used for various purposes). The gender of the word is thus animate, as we would expect for a noun in *-ti-.

^{31.} Güterbock apud Laroche, RHA 23 (1965) 136, reads the sequence as TÚG-an-ši-wa-zakan, which is syntactically impossible. Neither the accusative TÜG-an, the enclitic -ši, nor the particle -wa- fits the context. The -as- after -wa- is clear in the autograph, and a dative-locative is needed. The fact that correct Hittite word order is preserved behind a Sumerogram (TÚG.DINGIR.LIM-waš = šiunaš šeknuwaš) is confirmed by DUMU.LÚ.ULÚ.LU-li in KBo 12 128:7 for dandukišnaš DUMU-li. Compare also É.DINGIR.LIM = šiunaš pir.